



Life as an Examination: A Philosophical Exploration of Failure, Success, and Human Flourishing

¹Suresh Rohidas

ARTICLE TYPE	Research Article
COPYRIGHT	https://creativecommons.org/ CC BY-NC-ND
ORCID	https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9329-7576
DATES	Received: 09.08.2026 • Reviewed: 11.08.2026 • Revised: 28. 08. 2026 • Reviewed: 01. 10. 2026 • Accepted: 02.10.2026
PUBLICATION DATES	October- December, 2026 (Vol.2, Issue. 4), pp.55-70
DOI	https://doi.org/10.65842/nbpa.v2.i4.004
PUBLISHER	North Bengal Philosophers Association

ABSTRACT

Philosophically, human life can be viewed as a challenging examination in which people are constantly tested by success, failure, suffering, uncertainty, choice, and responsibility. Unlike an academic exam, the examination of life has no set syllabus or predetermined answers; instead, its questions arise from lived experience. This essay examines the philosophical implications of both success and failure and argues that neither should be regarded as the ultimate indicator of a person’s value. While success can test moral maturity, accountability, and character, failure can foster self-awareness, resilience, humility, and transformation. Using Stoicism as a model, using existentialist philosophy, Buddhism, the Bhagavad Gītā, and Aristotelian virtue ethics, the paper explores how people can cultivate a balanced attitude toward life's shifting circumstances. It contends that true success lies in wisdom, moral integrity, self-awareness, compassion, and meaningful human flourishing rather than outward accomplishment. Therefore, the quality of character that is formed through success and failure is the ultimate test of life.

KEYWORDS: Life, failure, success, resilience, self-knowledge, wisdom, human flourishing

CITATION

Rohidas, S. (2026). Life as an Examination: A Philosophical Exploration of Failure, Success, and Human Flourishing. *NBPA Journal for Arts, Humanities & Social Sciences*, 2(4), pp. 55-70.
<https://doi.org/10.65842/nbpa.v2.i4.004>

1. Introduction

Human life is often compared to a journey, a struggle, a battlefield, a school, or an examination. The concept of life as an examination is one of these metaphors that

¹ Presently working as a Lecturer in Philosophy at Bonaigarh College, Bonaigarh, Sundargada, Odisha, affiliated with Sambalpur University, rohidasuresh4@gmail.com

has special significance because human existence constantly puts us in situations that put our knowledge, morality, courage, patience, and wisdom to the test. A syllabus, a set time limit, predetermined questions, and a clear evaluation process are all features of an academic exam. But none of these things are certain in life. We don't know what questions life will ask, when difficult situations will occur, or how success and failure will show up. When we first enter the world, we are unaware of the examination's rules; we gradually pick them up through experience. Because people want certainty in a world full of change and uncertainty, life becomes challenging. We want success but fail; we want happiness but suffer; we want permanence but live in a transient world.

According to Buddhist philosophy, attachment and craving are major contributors to suffering, especially when people cling to shifting circumstances as if they were permanent (Gethin, 1998; Rahula, 1974). Even when we put in a lot of effort, we occasionally don't get the desired outcome. Other times, success is unanticipated. Therefore, the main philosophical issue is not just how to succeed but also how to meaningfully comprehend both success and failure.

From a philosophical perspective, success and failure cannot be seen as merely external events. They are also interpretive states. For example, Stoic philosophy emphasises the importance of evaluations and responses to external events (Epictetus, 1995; Long, 2002). The same event might be seen as a failure by one person and as an opportunity for personal growth by another. While some people may feel defeated after losing a competition, others may view it as a lesson that will help them succeed in the future.

Thus, the notion that life is an examination encourages us to examine ourselves. It raises the question of whether we can continue to be brave when we fail, modest when we succeed, moral in trying situations, and sympathetic when we witness the suffering of others. In this way, evaluating one's character rather than one's accomplishments on the outside is the ultimate test of life.

2. Life as a Difficult Examination

Because humans cannot fully control their circumstances, life is challenging. Even when we plan ahead, put in effort, hone our skills, and act wisely, outcomes often depend on events beyond our control. Birth, death, illness, natural disasters, social

and economic conditions, other people's choices, and unforeseen events can greatly affect our lives.

This ambiguity leads to a basic philosophical issue. People have desires, but reality doesn't always align with them. The world constantly reminds us that none of the things we desire—success, recognition, security, love, and happiness—can be assured in the long run.

Stoic philosophers offered a potent solution to this issue. Epictetus highlights the difference between what is under our control and what is not (Epictetus, 1995). While external events, other people's opinions, wealth, social status, and many other circumstances are beyond our control, our judgments, choices, intentions, and responses are largely within our control. According to recent research, virtue and a logical moral response are essential components of Stoic ethics (Inwood, 2010; Long, 2002).

This distinction offers a crucial life philosophy. We become susceptible to events if we base our entire value on success in the outside world. However, we have more inner freedom if we evaluate our life based on the calibre of our work, morality, and character.

Therefore, the examination of life requires us to cultivate the capacity to react sensibly to situations that are beyond our control. Although it's not always possible to predict success, one can decide whether or not to keep up sincere efforts. Although he can't always avoid failure, he can decide whether it will educate or destroy him. He has no control over what other people think of him, but he does have control over whether or not he lives by his values.

3. Failure as a Philosophical Experience

One of the most agonising experiences in life is probably failure. People are frequently taught from an early age to equate failure with defeat and success with accomplishment. Exam scores, employment, financial success, social standing, and recognition become benchmarks by which individuals evaluate themselves and others. Therefore, failure can lead to feelings of shame, disappointment, anxiety, and loss of confidence. However, philosophy challenges this common perception

of failure. Is failure truly the antithesis of achievement? Or is it an essential step in the process that makes wisdom and success possible?

One way to conceptualise failure is as an experience of limitation. It teaches us that we have limited control over reality, our plans are flawed, and our knowledge is incomplete. Since wisdom frequently starts with an awareness of limitations, this realisation can have philosophical value because being aware of one's limitations is often the first step toward wisdom.

In this case, Socratic philosophy is particularly pertinent. Socrates frequently highlights the peril of thinking that one is knowledgeable when one is not, and he presents philosophical inquiry as a kind of self-examination (Plato, 1997a). A person who thinks he knows everything is not very motivated to learn new things. Acknowledging his ignorance makes one receptive to new information. Failure can act as a Socratic teacher in this way. It makes us ask questions that we might not have to ask if we were successful.

When someone fails, he might inquire: Why did I not succeed? Was my approach incorrect? Was I unprepared? Did I misinterpret the circumstances? Were there uncontrollable circumstances? What can I take away from this encounter? Failure is transformed into philosophical contemplation by such questions. As a result, failure does not always imply failure. Sometimes it serves as the cornerstone around which wisdom and significant success are built.

4. Failure and Human Resilience

We can better comprehend the philosophical significance of failure by looking at the idea of resilience. Humans are distinguished not only by their experiences but also by their ability to overcome hardship. Recent psychological research indicates that resilience is a dynamic process involving adaptation in the face of adversity rather than an endless or permanent personal attribute (Masten, 2001). Overcoming, self-transformation, and the growth of strength via struggle are all important to Nietzsche (Nietzsche, 1974, 2006). Passive acceptance of suffering is not encouraged by his philosophy. Instead, it pushes people to turn challenges into chances to grow stronger. However, Nietzsche's theories shouldn't be seen as a defence of all injustice or suffering.

Strength is not a natural by-product of suffering. Sometimes, suffering can lead to despair and a loss of confidence. How it is perceived and reacted to determines its

worth. Failure can either make someone resentful or make them wiser. Therefore, failure by itself does not ensure growth; rather, growth is made possible by deliberate reflection on failure.

The resilient person does not deny failure. Instead, he accepts it as a normal part of being human. He understands that nobody is impervious to mistakes, failures, losses, and unsuccessful endeavours. One of the most important questions is whether one can continue to live a meaningful life after these experiences.

Resilience is therefore more than just the capacity to bear suffering. It is the capacity to recognise the need for justice, compassion, and support while simultaneously converting suffering into understanding.

5. Success as Another Examination

It is common to believe that success is simple and failure is challenging. Success, however, can be examined philosophically in a different and occasionally more nuanced way. A person may become humble as a result of failure because it serves as a reminder of his limitations. Conversely, success can breed attachment, conceit, pride, and the delusion of superiority. When someone succeeds, they might start to think that their success is solely due to their own skills. He might overlook the roles played by his family, instructors, institutions, society, opportunities, situations, and chance. Thus, humility should go hand in hand with success.

The philosophical question is not only whether or not someone succeeds, but also how success impacts a person's personality. Success that fosters conceit, selfishness, and insensitivity can lead to both external success and internal failure. However, if success cultivates generosity, accountability, thankfulness, and compassion, it becomes a sort of moral achievement.

Aristotle's concept of eudaimonia, which is often translated as "human flourishing," offers a deeper understanding of success. According to Aristotle, the good life is not just about pleasure or material wealth. Human flourishing requires the development and practice of virtues like courage, justice, temperance, and practical wisdom (Aristotle, 1999). Hursthouse and Pettigrove (2016) claim that contemporary virtue ethics views flourishing, virtue, and practical wisdom as

central concepts in moral philosophy. Consequently, the definition of philosophical success is improving rather than merely possessing more.

6. The Bhagavad Gītā and Success and Failure

In Indian philosophy, the Bhagavad Gītā offers a profound comprehension of success and failure. Karma Yoga places a strong emphasis on taking action without getting unduly attached to its outcomes (The Bhagavad Gītā, 2.47–2.48). Because it distinguishes responsible behaviour from attachment to results, this lesson has philosophical significance.

Although humans have complete control over their actions, intentions, discipline, and behaviour, they do not have complete control over outcomes. A farmer cannot fully control the weather, natural conditions, or the final harvest, but he can prepare the soil, plant seeds, water the field, and safeguard the crops. Similarly, a student can study diligently but cannot fully control assessments, personal circumstances, or exam questions.

The Gītā encourages a person to carry out his duties with sincerity and avoid becoming overly attached to the outcome. This does not negate the significance of the results. Instead, it implies that our psychological identity shouldn't be totally reliant on outcomes. According to Göaṅkara's commentary on Gītā 2.47–2.48, equanimity and disciplined action are crucial components of this teaching (Göaṅkarācārya, 2013).

One should not become overly proud upon success. Failure shouldn't lead to total breakdown. Within the greater process of life, both success and failure are transient states. Equanimity results from this philosophical mindset. A mature individual works honestly but acknowledges that results are unpredictable. Such a person is neither destroyed by failure nor indifferent to success.

7. Success, Failure, and Attachment

One of the main reasons for psychological suffering is attachment. Success is a natural human desire, but when that desire turns into an overwhelming attachment, failure becomes intolerable. Buddhist philosophy examines the connection between suffering, impermanence, attachment, and craving (Gethin, 1998; Rahula, 1974).

Let's say someone feels that "I must achieve this particular goal; otherwise my life has no meaning." A person like that bases his whole identity on a single result. He feels tremendous satisfaction if the goal is accomplished; if not, he might feel deep despair.

Ambition itself is not the issue. Ambition can spur excellence, discipline, and creativity. The idea that a person's total value as a human being is determined by a single accomplishment is the issue.

When people cling to transient things as if they were permanent, they suffer. Achievement is fleeting. Wealth is ephemeral. The position is temporary. Notoriety is fleeting. Failure is also transient.

Acknowledging impermanence does not imply losing interest in life. Instead, it refers to engaging in life without letting transient circumstances fully dictate one's inner identity.

8. The Middle Path

Two extremes must be avoided in a mature philosophy of life. Excessive identification with failure is the second, and excessive attachment to success is the first. When someone thinks that success is everything, they are more susceptible to anxiety and fear. He worries about losing what he has accomplished all the time. If someone thinks failure defines who they are, they lose the ability to see new possibilities.

The Middle Way Buddhist philosophy offers a helpful framework for comprehending this issue. The Middle Way seeks a disciplined path between self-denial and indulgence, avoiding harmful extremes (Gethin, 1998; Harvey, 2013). It does not imply a lack of ambition or mediocrity.

You can strive for excellence without becoming obsessed with success. Failure does not necessarily mean that life is over. You can enjoy success without becoming conceited. Suffering does not necessarily mean that life is worthless. A sign of philosophical maturity is this equilibrium.

9. Failure as a Teacher



Often, failure teaches lessons that success cannot. While failure compels us to reevaluate our current approaches, success may validate them.

A student who consistently succeeds might never think much about his learning strategies. A failing student might learn the value of self-control, time management, conceptual comprehension, or persistence. Similarly, failure in the workplace can reveal flaws that were hidden during successful times. Thus, failure can teach humility. It reminds us that there is always room for improvement and that aptitude is limited.

Patience is also learned through failure. While modern society often encourages immediate results, meaningful achievement often requires sustained effort. Human development seldom proceeds in a straight line. Progress, regression, uncertainty, and renewed effort are all possible. This process of change is where failure finds its philosophical value. If a failed attempt advances knowledge, it's not always a waste.

10. Success as Responsibility

Success is more than self-enjoyment. Success in any form entails responsibility. A good teacher has an obligation to their pupils. A successful professional has social obligations. It is the duty of a successful scholar to advance knowledge. A wealthy person has the chance to help others. A person in a position of authority has an obligation to use it morally. Success thus raises the moral question, "What will I do with what I have achieved?"

According to virtue ethics, achievement should promote human flourishing as opposed to just appeasing one's own ego. A truly successful person is one whose accomplishments add value beyond themselves rather than one who surpasses everyone else; because humans are relational creatures, this is especially crucial. Nobody accomplishes everything on their own. Language, education, culture, social institutions, family, teachers, co-workers, and innumerable unidentified contributions from earlier generations are all essential to our accomplishments. Thus, success should be accompanied by gratitude.

11. Existentialism and Human Responsibility

Existentialist philosophy offers another significant perspective on life as an analysis. Existentialist philosophers highlight human freedom, accountability, anxiety, authenticity, and the issue of meaning (Crowell, 2020; Sartre, 2007).

From an existentialist viewpoint, a person's life purpose is not always determined by failure. Through freedom, a person can understand and react to failure. Similarly, success does not always mean a meaningful life. Even if someone is wealthy, well-known, and respected, they may still feel empty.

Authentic decision-making and accountability must be linked to meaning. This results in a crucial distinction between meaning and achievement. The question, "What have I accomplished?" is addressed by achievement. Meaning asks, "Why does my life matter?"

Someone with modest accomplishments may have a deep sense of purpose, while someone with many accomplishments may not have a meaningful life. Therefore, rather than merely accepting socially imposed definitions of success, existential philosophy encourages people to take responsibility for their decisions and to create meaningful lives.

12. Suffering and Character

One of the most challenging aspects of the human examination is suffering. Every person experiences pain of some kind. Loss, loneliness, disappointment, poverty, injustice, illness, separation, failure, or uncertainty are some of the ways it can manifest.

Philosophy offers frameworks for comprehending suffering, but it does not end it. The Stoics urge us to differentiate between events and our opinions about them (Epictetus, 1995). Buddhism examines the causes of suffering (Rahula, 1974). The Bhagavad Gītā, 2.47–2.48, states that the Gītā teaches calmness and disciplined behaviour. Existentialism examines how people try to make sense of an uncertain world (Crowell, 2020). Although these traditions differ greatly, they share one crucial point: suffering can set the stage for people to confront important existential issues. We may seldom question who we are, what we value, or what really matters when life is comfortable. Challenging experiences often thrust these questions upon us. Suffering thus becomes a component of character analysis.

But we shouldn't romanticise suffering. Suffering does not always make people stronger. Some suffering is unfair and destructive. Therefore, a philosophical

response needs to incorporate social responsibility, compassion, and resilience. We should consider ways to lessen needless suffering rather than just telling those in pain to put up with it.

13. The Moral Examination of Life

Morality is the most profound analysis of life. Even if someone is exceptionally successful, they may not be morally upright. On the other hand, a person may have exceptional moral integrity despite experiencing external failure.

Consider someone who becomes wealthy by being dishonest. He might seem successful from the outside. But from a philosophical standpoint, his accomplishment calls into question justice and morality.

In a similar vein, someone who declines to cheat, even when doing so would result in instant success, may suffer a temporary setback but gain integrity, which is more valuable.

This highlights the distinction between character success and action success. Ethics requires us to think about both what we accomplish and how we accomplish it. The methods are important. We cannot always view a desired outcome achieved through unethical means as true success.

Kantian ethics places a strong emphasis on acting morally rather than just seeking favourable outcomes. According to Kant, people should never be viewed as mere means but rather as ends in and of themselves (Kant, 1996). According to this viewpoint, pursuing one's own objectives while honouring the dignity of others is the ultimate assessment of life.

14. The Social Meaning of Success

Society also constructs failure and success. Society often uses income, occupation, property, status, academic credentials, or public recognition to define success. However, these criteria might not fully represent the worth of a human life.

A person who earns less might be seen as unsuccessful, while someone who is wealthy might be seen as successful. However, these assessments may be superficial. What about relationships, inner peace, kindness, honesty, intellectual growth, and service to society?

Philosophy asks us to distinguish between true human flourishing and social recognition, challenging limited social definitions of success. Because it links a good life to the consistent practice of virtue rather than just possession, Aristotle's account of flourishing is particularly helpful (Aristotle, 1999).

A person can lead a quiet life without being well-known and still make a big impact by teaching, caring for their family, helping others, or upholding moral principles. Philosophically speaking, such a life might be more fulfilling than a life of fame devoid of morality. As a result, we must exercise caution so that our entire sense of value is not determined by social comparison.

15. Comparison and Inner Freedom

Constantly comparing oneself to others is one of the biggest barriers to living a meaningful life.

People compare their accomplishments, wealth, jobs, relationships, looks, and social standing on a regular basis. Because people do not start their analyses of life from equal circumstances, such comparisons can lead to needless discontent.

Every person is unique in their background, opportunities, skills, responsibilities, and challenges. It is therefore philosophically problematic to compare one's entire life with the outward accomplishments of another.

Once more, the Stoic distinction between what is and is not under our control is pertinent (Epictetus, 1995). We can ask whether we are growing in relation to our own potential rather than whether we are ahead of others. The comparison between who we were yesterday and who we are becoming today is the more significant one.

16. The Meaning of Genuine Success

If success isn't defined by achievement, wealth, or prestige, what is it? Philosophically speaking, success is the balanced development of human potential; it includes social contribution, moral development, emotional maturity, meaningful relationships, intellectual growth, and accountability. Success is therefore complex. A person has only partially succeeded if they become successful in their career but

lose empathy. A person has paid a heavy price if they become wealthy but lose their tranquillity. A person cannot be deemed successful if they achieve recognition at the expense of their integrity. True success requires a balance between internal growth and external accomplishment. It entails developing the ability to say, "I have tried to respond to life with sincerity, courage, wisdom, and humanity, but I have not controlled everything that happened to me."

17. The Meaning of Failure

In a similar vein, we need to redefine failure. Failure is more than just not being able to accomplish a goal. It is sometimes essential information. Occasionally, it's a call to alter course. Occasionally, it teaches us that the objective was meaningless. Occasionally, it exposes latent strengths.

A person who keeps failing but never stops learning may progress more deeply than someone who succeeds with ease. Therefore, the ultimate decision about failure should not be made immediately. Something that appears to be a failure at one point in life may prove to be a turning point. A single response cannot fully determine the outcome of life's long examination.

18. Life as Becoming

The idea that people are not finished products is among the most significant philosophical discoveries. We are constantly evolving. Every encounter advances our growth. Our upbringing, education, relationships, successes, and failures shape us, as does how we interpret these experiences. The self is therefore dynamic. This idea matters because it lets us understand failure without falling into self-loathing. If we are able to grow, yesterday's weakness does not have to become tomorrow's identity. People have the capacity to change. Errors can teach us valuable lessons. Ignorance can lead to knowledge. Bravery can emerge from fear. Failure can lead to experience. Accountability can result from achievement. Therefore, rather than focusing only on grades, the examination of life is about continuous self-transformation.

19. Wisdom as the Final Achievement

Wisdom is the ultimate goal of life analysis. Facts are revealed by knowledge. Intelligence helps us solve problems. Achievements are the result of success. However, wisdom teaches us how to live. A wise person understands that relationships need care, circumstances change, success and failure are fleeting, and

moral decisions matter. A wise person does not anticipate a comfortable life indefinitely. Instead, he learns to handle both pleasure and pain without losing his basic equilibrium. This ideal is similar to the Gītā's teaching of equanimity, the Buddhist understanding of impermanence, and the Stoic emphasis on rational self-control (Epictetus, 1995; Rahula, 1974; The Bhagavad Gītā, 2.48), compassion is also a result of wisdom. We are better able to comprehend the vulnerability of others when we are aware of our own. A person who has failed might develop greater empathy for those who are struggling. Successful people tend to be more accountable to those who don't have the same opportunities. Thus, when viewed with reflection, both success and failure can lead to wisdom.

20. Conclusion

Life is undoubtedly a challenging examination, but we shouldn't view this challenge solely negatively. Growth is also made possible by the uncertainty, struggle, failure, success, suffering, and change that define human existence.

We learn from failure that we have limitations, but those limitations can also promote learning. Success shows us that hard work can lead to success, but success should foster humility. While resilience teaches us about strength, suffering teaches us about vulnerability. While wisdom teaches us how to deal with the uncontrollable, experience teaches us that we cannot fully control life.

On this analysis, the philosophical traditions of the East and the West offer distinct but complementary viewpoints. We learn to discern between what is and is not under our control from Stoicism (Epictetus, 1995; Long, 2002). Socrates emphasises the value of introspection (Plato, 1997a). Aristotle defines the good life in terms of virtue and human flourishing (Aristotle, 1999). Buddhism helps us comprehend impermanence and attachment (Gethin, 1998; Rahula, 1974). The Bhagavad Gītā emphasises methodical behaviour and composure in the face of outcomes (The Bhagavad Gītā, 2.47–2.48). Existentialism emphasises autonomy, accountability, and the production of meaning (Crowell, 2020; Sartre, 2007). Taken together, these philosophical viewpoints suggest that achieving success by social standards is not life's ultimate purpose. Becoming a fully realised human being is

the deeper objective. The ultimate assessment of life cannot be determined solely by wealth, status, notoriety, degrees, or accomplishments. Character, wisdom, compassion, courage, justice, self-awareness, and the capacity to lead a meaningful life must also be taken into consideration.

Failure should therefore not be seen as the end of life when things get tough. We might be asked a challenging question in order to learn more about ourselves. Success shouldn't be viewed as the end goal. Another question might be whether we can continue to be responsible and modest.

The most profound philosophical lesson is that life does not evaluate us based only on our accomplishments. It looks at how we react to failure, how we act when we succeed, and how we turn our experiences into wisdom. Ultimately, living a life devoid of failures is not the greatest achievement. It is not possible to live such a life. Living a life where failure becomes learning, suffering becomes depth, success becomes responsibility, and experience becomes wisdom is the ultimate form of success.

Because there is no set question paper or guaranteed answer key, life could be the most challenging exam. However, each morning offers us a fresh chance to respond to its inquiries via our ideas, decisions, deeds, and interpersonal connections. Although we have no control over the questions life poses, we can work to improve our responses.

Therefore, "In the face of success and failure, did I become a better, wiser, more ethical, and more compassionate human being?" is the true question of life, not "Did I succeed or fail?" If the answer is yes, then life's examination has not failed despite all its setbacks.

References

- Aristotle. (1999). *Nicomachean ethics* (M. Ostwald, Trans.). Prentice Hall. (Original work published ca. 350 BCE)
- Crowell, S. (2020). Existentialism. In E. N. Zalta (Ed.), *The Stanford encyclopedia of philosophy* (Fall 2020 ed.). Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/existentialism/>
- Epictetus. (1995). *The handbook* (N. P. White, Trans.). Hackett Publishing Company. (Original work published ca. 125 CE)
- Gethin, R. (1998). *The foundations of Buddhism*. Oxford University Press.
- Harvey, P. (2013). *An introduction to Buddhism: Teachings, history and practices* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Hursthouse, R., & Pettigrove, G. (2016). Virtue ethics. In E. N. Zalta (Ed.), *The Stanford encyclopedia of philosophy* (Winter 2016 ed.). Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/ethics-virtue/>
- Inwood, B. (Ed.). (2010). *The Cambridge companion to the Stoics*. Cambridge University Press.
- Kant, I. (1996). *Practical philosophy* (M. J. Gregor, Ed. & Trans.). Cambridge University Press.
- Long, A. A. (2002). *Epictetus: A Stoic and Socratic guide to life*. Oxford University Press.
- Masten, A. S. (2001). Ordinary magic: Resilience processes in development. *American Psychologist*, 56(3), 227–238. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.56.3.227>
- Nietzsche, F. (1974). *The gay science* (W. Kaufmann, Trans.). Vintage Books. (Original work published 1882)
- Nietzsche, F. (2006). *Thus spoke Zarathustra* (A. del Caro, Trans.). Cambridge University Press. (Original work published 1883–1885)
- Plato. (1997a). Apology (G. M. A. Grube, Trans.). In J. M. Cooper (Ed.), *Plato: Complete works* (pp. 17–36). Hackett Publishing Company. (Original work published ca. 399 BCE)

- Plato. (1997b). Meno (G. M. A. Grube, Trans.). In J. M. Cooper (Ed.), *Plato: Complete works* (pp. 870–897). Hackett Publishing Company. (Original work published ca. 385 BCE)
- Rahula, W. (1974). *What the Buddha taught* (2nd ed.). Grove Press.
- Sartre, J.-P. (2007). *Existentialism is a humanism* (C. Macomber, Trans.). Yale University Press. (Original lecture delivered in 1945)
- Śaṅkarācārya. (2013). *Bhagavad Gita with the commentary of Sri Sankaracharya* (A. G. Krishna Warriar, Trans.). Sri Ramakrishna Math. (Original commentary composed ca. eighth century)
- Iyengar, B. K. S. (1985). *Light on the Yoga Sūtras of Patañjali*. HarperCollins.